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Objective: The unprecedented nature of the COVID-19 pandemic and cessation of full face-to-face affiliation along with homebound restrictions have caused a variety of psychological distress among adolescents. Adolescents vary in the way they perceive such stressors and some respond with eating disturbances, which could reflect their dysfunctional emotion regulation strategies. The present research aimed at elucidating potential mediating pathways from perceived stress and psychological distress to emotional eating.

Methods: This cross-sectional study was composed of 292 adolescents who were assessed using the Perceived Stress Scale, COVID-19-Related Psychological Stress Scale, Emotional Eating subscale of the Dutch Eating Behavior Questionnaire, and Difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale. Correlation analyses were performed to assess the relationship between variables. ANOVA was conducted to detect differences between males and females for emotional eating. Then, a mediation analysis was conducted to assess whether emotional dysregulation was a mediator between psychological distress and emotional eating.

Results: Results of path analyses indicated that a model with perceived stress and psychological distress predicting emotion eating through the mediation of emotion dysregulation was the best fit for the data (CFI=0.970, GFI=0.949, df=26, χ²=53.69, χ²/df=2.06, P>0.05, and RMSEA=0.069). Mediation analyses showed the mediating role of emotion dysregulation in the link between perceived stress and emotional eating (Sobel’s z=2.83, P<0.05) while, it could not function as a mediator between psychological distress and emotional eating (Sobel’s z=0.90, P>0.05).

Conclusion: This study contributes to our understanding of the role of emotion regulation in the relationship between perceived stress and psychological distress and emotional eating in adolescents during the COVID-19 pandemic. The implication of this study is for therapeutic intervention to target emotional dysregulation of adolescents confronted with COVID-19 stressors.
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1. Introduction

On March 11, 2020, the universe was faced with the declaration of the outbreak of novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) (World Health Organization, 2020). Now people are experiencing a great range of threatening stressors loaded on their health, safety, and economic well-being (Brown, Doom, Lechuga-Peña, Watamura, & Koppels, 2020). Ample evidence exists linking this pandemic with increased stress and anxiety problems (Duan & Zhu, 2020; Fofana, Latif, Sarfraz, Bashir, & Komal, 2020; Husky, Kovess-Masfety, & Swendsen, 2020; Islam, Bodrud-Doza, Khan, Haque, & Mamun, 2020; Yıldırım & Solmaz, 2020). For example, results from a meta-analysis of 3,405 studies during the COVID-19 epidemic showed that almost 24% of the participants experienced Psychological Distress (PD) (Cooke, Eirich, Racine, & Madigan, 2020), while a similar survey on the Iranian population reported that 60% of the participants experienced anxiety and stress (Salari et al., 2020).

Life changes caused by the COVID-19 were unsettling for all age groups (Commodari & La Rosa, 2020). However, some groups may be more vulnerable to the psychological effects of pandemics, the effects of stress and mental destabilization may be more prominent for adolescents (Findlay & Arim, 2020) who are experiencing a developmental stage, in which a strong enthusiasm is toward expanding social affiliation and all aspirations are directed toward cultivating a positive future full of opportunities (Smirni, Smirni, Di Martino, Operato, & Carotenuto, 2019). However, the sudden, destabilizing COVID-19 can ruin their sociability, friendship, any immediate dreams, or future planning (Golberstein, Wen, & Miller, 2020) and exacerbate existing mental health. Now, the newly-established fragile teen’s desire for autonomy, peer relationships, and regular physical activity is hindered by inevitable social distancing policies and obligatory stay-at-home guidelines. Many also struggle with academic problems due to school disclosure altogether putting the teen at a higher level of stress (Golberstein et al., 2020).

While stress is defined as person adaptation in response to external and internal threats (Lecic-Tosevski, Vukovic, & Stepanovic, 2011), Perceived Stress (PS) outlines an individual’s global subjective appraisal of the threatening or non-threatening nature of an objective stress-eliciting experience as well as evaluations of own efficacy in coping (Cohen, Kamarck, & Mermelstein, 1983). Based on what was mentioned earlier, these stressor appraisals can cause a sequence of emotional distress reactions when the person feels that s/he has no control or adequate resources to deal with the challenge (Lazarus & Folkman, 1984).

The extent to which a situation is appraised stressful (i.e., PS) can generate adaptive or maladaptive outcomes (Lazarus & Folkman, 1984). Studies on human adaptive and non-adaptive reactions to perceived and real stress have focused on many factors, like coping strategies (Yan, Gan, Ding, Wu, & Duan, 2021), cognitive appraisals (Xu et al., 2020), and optimistic attitudes (Geç & Arslan, 2021). One of the non-adaptive responses to PS, which has gained attention in recent studies is changes in eating patterns (Bruch, 1974; Taut, Renner, & Baban, 2012).

Highlights

- COVID-19 has imposed many psychological problems in adolescent group;
- Many adolescents perceive high stress related to COVID-19 sequel;
- Some adolescents who perceive higher stress respond with emotional eating;
- Emotional eating related to COVID-19 perceived stress is higher in those lacking adaptive emotion regulation strategies.

Plain Language Summary

The emergence of COVID-19 created a lot of stress on teens. There are differences between adolescents in the extent to which they perceive stress. Some experience more intense stress, while others experience less stress. Unfortunately, some teens resort to eating to relieve their emotional pain, such as stress, but this eating is more common among those teens who have difficulty adjusting their emotions. That’s why the issue of the ability to adjust emotion adaptively has become important topic in the COVID-19 era.
Moreover, another key factor influencing eating behaviors during the pandemic is PD, including depression, anxiety, etc. For example, a recent survey in Italy explored modified dietary habits during quarantine, with 42% attributing heightened eating to higher anxiety levels (Scarmozzino & Visioli, 2020).

It is well established that stress and emotional state can cause loss of appetite (Macht, 2008; Yau & Potenza, 2013), whereas for many, undesirable emotions and stress provoke a tendency to overeat—a type of eating known as Emotional Eating (EE) (Van Strien, 2018). It is also well acknowledged that during the outbreak, the prevalence of EE remarkably has increased (Kuijer & Boyce, 2012). In recent studies aimed at exploring changes in eating habits during the lockdown, individuals from different countries reported problematic eating behaviors, including EE in response to pandemic stressors and their psychological distresses (Bemanian et al., 2021; Papandreou, Arija, Aretouli, Tsilidis, & Bulló, 2020; Usubini et al., 2021).

These scenarios could reflect and highlight the role of Emotion Regulation (ER) in managing self-regulatory eating behaviors. ER problems have been introduced as underlying mechanisms of EE. For instance, McAtamney, Mantzios, Egan and Wallis (2021) recently reported the mediating role of emotional dysregulation between alexithymia after a period of lockdown (McAtamney, et al., 2021). ER may be relevant to stress reduction (Coulthard, Sharps, Cunliffe, & van den Tol, 2021; Debeuf et al., 2020; Gouveia, Canavarro, & Moreira, 2019; Sapolsky, 2007; Sim & Zeman, 2006). In the context of COVID-19, it seems that ER strategies affect the association between PS and anxiety symptoms in isolated individuals (Xu et al., 2020). Therefore, considering the mediating role of ER between PS and EE may be a matter of question.

Overall, based on what was explained, the advent of the pandemic has infected many catastrophic and threatening effects on mental wellbeing. During these extraordinary times, all age groups, particularly adolescents are confronted by many stressors. Several studies have considered the adult population; however, due to developmental fragilities and immature cognitive and emotional regulation systems, adolescents are at greater risk for stress and negative psychological outcomes (Zhou, 2020). Alike adults, whose response to stress is heterogeneous (Krishnan & Nestler, 2008), the adolescents’ responses may vary based on subjective perception; hence, different responses may be produced by different individuals. A growing body of studies has shown that many adults cope with the perceived stressors by urges to eat as a means of attenuating difficult emotions during the pandemic. Nevertheless, to the best of our knowledge, a research void is evident regarding EE in this time frame among the teen group. As this eating pattern could represent a doubled health problem in the form of adverse weight gain and obesity in the post-pandemic era, this should be attended to. Thus, the aim of this study was to investigate predicting the role of PS and PD in EE. We also take a more comprehensive look at the mediating role of ER in relation to the above-mentioned constructs.

**Objectives**

We hypothesized that there would be a significant relationship between PD and PS and EE. In addition, we hypothesized that relationships between PS and PD and ER would be important. Finally, we hypothesized that the relationship between PD and EE would be mediated by ER.

**2. Materials and Methods**

**Procedure**

We conducted a cross-sectional survey. The survey was submitted to online social platforms at 10 A.M on 9th April 2021 for four weeks during the fourth peak. At the time of data collection, there were stringent mandatory restrictions all over the country. Participants were led to the link of questionnaires before the completion. All participants were adolescents. Inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) age range of between 13 and 18 years old, (2) Ability to read and write, (3) having access to the Internet, and (4) normal Body Mass Index (BMI) between 5th percentile and 85th percentile.

**Measurement**

**Difficulties in Emotion Regulation Scale (DERS):**

This scale was developed by Gratz and Roemer (2004). This questionnaire consists of 36 items (overall six factors), including (1) lack of emotional awareness, (2) lack of emotional clarity, (3) difficulties controlling impulsive behaviors when distressed, (4) difficulties engaging in goal-directed behavior when distressed, (5) non-acceptance of negative emotional responses, and (6) limited access to effective ER strategies. The answers are graded on a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (almost never applies to me) to 5 (almost always applies to me). Scoring is done by summing the items. Previous studies have shown acceptable reliability and internal consistency (α=0.80 to 0.93) in clinical and non-clinical populations (Fox, Axelrod, Paliwal, Sleeper, & Sinha, 2007; Gratz & Roemer, 2004).
The Persian version of DERS also has strong psychometric properties in both clinical (0.93) (Mazaheri, 2015) and normal (0.88) (Khanzadeh, Saeediyan, Hosseinchari, & Edrissi, 2012) samples.

**Perceived Stress Scale (PSS-14):** This scale developed by Cohen et al. (1983) was used to measure the degree to which life in the past month has been experienced as uncontrollable and overwhelming. The scale has three versions (Yang & Huang, 2003). Questions are answered on a 5-point response scale ranging from “0=never” to “4=very often”. The scores are obtained by summing up all 14 items. Multiple studies have shown good validity and test-retest reliability (Cronbach’s alpha >0.70) of PSS-14 (Lee, 2012). Reliability and internal consistency of the Persian version of the tool have been reported in the range of 0.84 to 0.93 in different studies (Khalili, Ebadi, Tavallai, & Habibi, 2017; Maroufizadeh, Foroudifard, Navid, Ezabadi, Sobati, & Omani-Samani, 2018; Maroufizadeh, Zareiyan, & Sigari, 2014).

**Dutch Eating Behavior Questionnaire (DEBQ):** To capture participants’ EE, the emotional eating subscale nested in the Dutch Eating Behavior Questionnaire (DEBQ) was used. These 13 items tapping EE are designed to assess the desire to eat in the presence of specific emotions as a means of coping. Items are rated on their frequency of occurrence on a five-point Likert scale from “1=never” to “5=very often”. This scale has been found to be a valid and reliable instrument for evaluating EE (e.g. Do you have a desire to eat when you are irritated?). The internal validity of the subscale using Cronbach’s alpha (0.92–0.95) has been reported (Van Strien, Frijters, Bergers, & Defares, 1986). Psychometric properties of the Persian version of DEBQ in the Iranian population showed satisfactory reliability (0.79) in the Iranian population (Nejati, Alipour, Saeidpour, & Bodaghi, 2017).

**Coronavirus Impacts Questionnaire:** The psychological subscale of the Coronavirus Impacts Questionnaire – Short version was used to assess PD, which is composed of two items: “I have become depressed because of the Coronavirus (COVID-19)” and “The Coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak has impacted my psychological health negatively”. The scale has shown acceptable reliability (α=0.90) (Conway III, Woodard, & Zubrod, 2020).

### 3. Results

**Descriptive**

Demographic data included age, sex, education level, and BMI. A total of 313 participants filled out the online survey. Eight questionnaires were excluded due to incompleteness or partial completion. Thirteen subjects did not meet the inclusion criteria and were excluded.
A total of 292 participants remained, out of which 42% were males and 58% were females; the Mean±SD age was 16.11±0.99 years old. Regarding educational level, most of the participants were in grade 10 (31%), and the lowest number of participants were in grade 7 (8%). The participants with BMI less than 5th percentile and more than 85th percentiles (CDC cut-point) we excluded for the sake of having a normal-weight sample in adolescents. The descriptive statistics of the samples are presented in Table 1. The ANOVA results showed no significant gender differences in EE (F=0.107, df=1, P=0.744). There was no significant correlation between age (Pearson’s r=0.007, P=0.93, 2-tailed) and BMI (Pearson’s r=0.17, P=0.13, 2-tailed) and EE. All correlation analyses are depicted in Table 2.

Predictors of EE

A path analysis was conducted using AMOS to test the hypothesis and investigate the direct and indirect effects of PS and PD on EE with a mediating role of ER (Figure 1). A P-value of 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Regarding pre-assumptions, skewness and kurtosis values indicated that all variables were normally distributed (Table 1). Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) and tolerance showed no violation of multicollinearity: EE*PS (VIF=2.47, tolerance=0.40), EE*PD (VIF=1.98, tolerance=0.504), EE*ER (VIF=1.83, tolerance=0.544); therefore, further analysis was allowed.

Model testing and modification

Assessment of model fit was performed using the χ² goodness-of-fit test as well as the Comparative Fit Index (CFI), the Goodness of Fit Index (GFI), and the Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA). As all indices showed good fit (CFI=0.970, GFI=0.949, df=26, χ²/df=2.06, P>0.05, and RMSEA=0.069), it can be concluded that the model fits the data.

The model showed that there was a direct path, that is, PS, PD, and DERS significantly explained 21%, 47%, and 29% of the variance of EE, respectively. Moreover, PS and PD accounted for 53% and 18% of the variance of DERS. Mediation model showed the indirect effect of PS (Sobel’s z=2.83, P<0.05) and PD (Sobel’s z=0.90, P>0.05) on EE through DERS. Remarkably, PS had indirect positive effects on EE through DERS. DERS functioned as a mediator between PS and EE, which sug-

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>No. (%)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Educational level</td>
<td></td>
<td>Grade 7</td>
<td>24(8.21)</td>
<td>4(1.39)</td>
<td>28(9.59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational level</td>
<td></td>
<td>Grade 8</td>
<td>37(12.67)</td>
<td>2(0.68)</td>
<td>39(12.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational level</td>
<td></td>
<td>Grade 9</td>
<td>49(16.78)</td>
<td>3(0.98)</td>
<td>52(17.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational level</td>
<td></td>
<td>Grade 10</td>
<td>92(31.50)</td>
<td>9(3.05)</td>
<td>101(34.46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational level</td>
<td></td>
<td>Grade 11</td>
<td>60(20.54)</td>
<td>5(1.70)</td>
<td>65(22.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational level</td>
<td></td>
<td>Grade 12</td>
<td>30(10.27)</td>
<td>3(1.02)</td>
<td>33(11.27)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
<th>Skewedness</th>
<th>Kurtosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>16.11±0.99</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>21.32±2.43</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>-0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD</td>
<td>3.50±2.16</td>
<td>-0.80</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>28.60±10.44</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>-0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DER</td>
<td>92.37±25.31</td>
<td>-0.75</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE</td>
<td>29.84±10.88</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

gested that individuals with lower ability in regulating emotions experienced higher levels of EE in response to COVID-19-related stresses. However, DERS could not mediate the relationship between PD and EE (Table 3).

4. Discussion

The current study was conducted upon emerging research demonstrating the high prevalence of EE during the COVID-19 outbreak. We tried to look at the influence of PS and COVID-19-related PD on adolescents’ EE in Iran. We further sought to assess whether the relation between the defined constructs is mediated by the ER strategies or not.

Overall, findings showed that the scores obtained on EE are influenced by PS and PD, and DERS. The findings of the mediation analyses indicated the indirect effects of PS on EE via DERS. The strong association between predicting variables and EE in path analysis means the more a situation is appraised stressful by an adolescent, the more the level of EE. This corroborates previous findings suggesting higher propensities of EE in the case of PS during the outbreak (Shen, Long, Shih, & Ludy, 2020). A positive strong correlation between PS and EE has been replicated over different phases of the pandemic among those experiencing anxiety (Di Renzo et al., 2020).

A similar trend was also obvious between PD and EE. Adolescents reported significantly greater EE urges in response to PD inflicted by COVID-19. This is in line with studies suggesting more dysfunctional eating habits and higher consumption of foods and beverages among those struggling with psychological difficulties (Freeman & Gil, 2004; Michels et al., 2012; Talbot, Maguen, Epel, Metzler, & Neylan, 2013), particularly during the pandemic (McAtamney et al., 2021). Therefore, our main hypothesis that emotional distress and high levels

Table 2. The correlation matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>EE</th>
<th>PS</th>
<th>PD</th>
<th>DER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>0.741**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD</td>
<td>0.790**</td>
<td>0.694**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DER</td>
<td>0.559**</td>
<td>0.592**</td>
<td>0.438**</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PD: Psychological Distress; PS: Perceived Stress; DER: Difficulties in Emotion Regulation; EE: Emotional Eating; ** P<0.01.

Table 3. The direct and indirect effect of variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictive Variables</th>
<th>Dependent Variables</th>
<th>Path Type</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>DER</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>0.537</td>
<td>5.622</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>EE</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>3.166</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD</td>
<td>DER</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>2.107</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD</td>
<td>EE</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td>7.911</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DER</td>
<td>EE</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>0.294</td>
<td>4.651</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>EE Via DER</td>
<td>Indirect</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>Sobel Test &lt;0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD</td>
<td>EE Via DER</td>
<td>Indirect</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>Sobel Test &gt;0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of PS during lockdown are accompanied by increased self-reported EE in adolescents was confirmed.

There are several explanations about why EE might increase during the pandemic. The COVID-19-related stressors are likely to be perceived as a significant ego-threatening condition for many adolescents as they are encountered by external stressors, like loss of family members, mandated stay-at-home, separation from friends, exposure to bad news as well as internal stressors, including fear of death, anticipatory anxiety of beloved sickness or death, fear, anger, loneliness, and hopelessness. Therefore, many teens experience internal imbalance and respond by searching for something to pacify. This may be explained by the role of comfort food, through which the individual may try to alleviate the inner stress by resorting to foods, particularly sweets to rinse the bitterness of the inner turbulence in order to achieve solace (Di Renzo et al., 2020). Food, not only plays a diminishing role in negative effects but also serves as an interesting and accessible hobby that has no limit during a time frame replete with limitations. The increased EE can be served as a replacement for COVID-19-related difficulties to enhance mood in a restricted adolescent.

This supports escape theory along with previous findings introducing food as a means of comfort in face of anxiety-provoking states (Heatherton & Baumeister, 1991; Wallis & Hetherington, 2004). This is also in line with the findings of Shen et al., (2020) showing the remarkable role of food in lessening stress and improving mood during the pandemic.

In efforts to understand the mechanisms that link stress to EE, we also hypothesized that elevations in ER difficulties in face of stress would be associated with greater engagement in EE. The results showed a direct path between ER and EE. Furthermore, a direct path exists between PS with ER difficulties. The analysis also revealed that ER plays a primary role in mediating the relationship between PS and EE. The mediating role of ER implies that ER strategies are a synergistic factor in the relationship between PS and EE during COVID-19. Probably the long-term exposure to the unpredictable nature of COVID-19 and its accompanying stressors depleted adolescents’ coping resources and their ability to identify, monitor, and adaptively manage emotions that are vividly diminished. An adolescent who appraises this situation is more stressed and is less adept in deploying adaptive ER strategies and using EE as a potent coping mechanism. Unfortunately, the advent of COVID-19 exerted many limitations on adolescents’ lives and they could no longer resort to typical emotion boosting strategies, like sports, teamwork, socializing with friends, and parties, and the only pleasurable available activity to engage was eating to modify the magnitude of stress.

Consistent with this, other studies have reported that restricted access to emotionally flexible and useful strategies in the face of negative emotions contribute to loss-of-control eating (Kenardy, Arnow, & Agras, 1996) and disordered eating (Lavender & Anderson, 2010). The crucial role of ER in the relation between stresses and EE is also reported in the psychosomatic theory positing that poor emotional awareness leads to the inability to recognize hunger and results in eating in face of emotional arousal (Bruch, 1973).

Moreover, contrary to our hypothesis, the relationship between PD and EE does not seem to be mediated via ER. This could be due to the nature of our scale, which is composed of only two questions measuring holistic psychological distress. Also, as far as PS is regarded, internal symptoms and personal appraisals are engaged. Stress is construed as a symptom. ER strategies function in response to internally appraised matters while PD is a phenomenon incorporating many signs and symptoms. Thus, other factors would contribute to this association and PD may relate to EE via other paths. Overall, the results of our study indicated that training on adaptive ER is especially important for adolescents who are emotional eaters.

5. Conclusion

This study contributes to our understanding of the role of ER in the relationship between PS and EE in adolescents during the COVID-19 pandemic. The implications of this study are for designing therapeutic interventions to target emotional dysregulation of adolescents confronted with COVID-19 stressors.

Limitation and future directions

Although the current study provides valuable insights into the psychological correlates of preventative behaviors in teens, some limitations will need to be addressed in future research. First, the cross-sectional nature of the study limits casual inferences, which highlights the need to run longitudinal research. Second, the study relied on self-report measures, leading to bias. Future research may gain the advantage of applying observational instruments. Third, the survey questionnaires were distributed solely through digital means, which excluded adolescents deprived of access to the Internet. Fourth, the sample only consisted of adolescents with normal BMI, while the study may bear different results in obese

or underweight sample groups. This could be considered in future research.
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