Research Paper: Mediation Role of Psychological Capital Between Job Stress, Burnout, and Mental Health Among Nurses

Hadi Asheghi1, Mostafa Asheghi2, Molsen Hesari3

1. Health Economics, Faculty of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.
2. Industrial & Organizational Psychology, Faculty of Education & Psychology, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Ahvaz, Iran.
3. Department of Clinical Psychology, Faculty of Medicine, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Objective: Mental health, job burnout, and job stress have an important role in the wellbeing of nurses and their services. The present study aimed to investigate the relationship between job stress and burnout and mental health with the mediation of psychological capital.

Methods: The participants included 250 nurses from Bojnourd City, Iran who were selected by the available sampling method. The data were analyzed by Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) in SPSS version 23 and AMOS version 24.

Results: Structural equation modeling analysis confirmed the proposed pattern. The results showed the direct effect of job and indirect effect of stress through psychological capital on the mental health and burnout.

Conclusion: According to the results, hospitals and medical centers can benefit from psychological capital and its components to reduce job stress and burnout, and to increase mental health.
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1. Introduction

The World Health Organization defines workplace stress as a disease that causes serious health problems and negative working behaviors. Term “stress” was first used by Selye (1976) and is defined as the body’s non-specific response to any demand for change (Aydın, 2004). Job stress, also known as workplace stress, is a global problem that arises in a highly stressful work environment. Health care workers can often be exposed to problems caused by job stress (Gulavani & Shinde, 2014). Stress is a natural and integral part of everyday life. While it can cause widespread anxiety, there is also an effective coping mechanism to control and reduce its effects (Yoshizawa et al., 2016). Because of the significant negative relationship between job stress and mental health (Sugawara et al., 2017), paying attention to and controlling job stress in the workplace can increase mental health and reduce job burnout.

Job burnout is a stress syndrome that is caused by chronic stress in the workplace and includes three components: emotional fatigue, identity loss, and decreased personal adequacy (Lizano & Barak, 2015).

These pressures and stresses can affect a person’s mental health (Greenberg, Docherty, Gnanapragasam & Wessely, 2020). According to reports in our country, the prevalence of occupational burnout in nurses is so high that in hospitals affiliated to Babol University of Medical Sciences, 68% of nurses report burnout. The most common causes of burnout in this study are low salaries and benefits, lack of managers’ support, lack of job security, and long working hours (Aziz Nejad & Hosseini, 2006). This phenomenon is the cause of reduced job performance and increased risk of cardiovascular disease (Toker, Melamed, Berliner, Zeltser, & Shapira, 2012) and increased symptoms of depression and decreased satisfaction with life (Thuynsma & De Beer, 2017).

A growing body of literature has documented the pervasiveness of job stress and burnout among physicians and other medical personnel. These groups include physicians in primary care, internal medicine, and family medicine; physician assistants; nurses; medical residents; and many others (Kelly, Soles, Garcia, & Kundu, 2020).

According to the World Health Organization, nurses are the backbone of the health care system in all societies (Krasikova, Lešter, & Harms, 2015), which shows the importance of paying special attention to nurses and their health in all societies. Nursing is one of the most challenging professions due to the emotional nature of the patient’s desires, long and professional working hours, as well as interpersonal conflicts. In recent years, new healthcare technologies, budget cuts, and changing healthcare conditions have increased personal and occupational stress among nurses (Jennings, 2008; Khamisa, Peltzer, Illic, & Oldenburg, 2017).

Highlights

- There is a negative relationship between job stress and burnout with psychological capital.
- Psychological capital as a positive personal resource can play a significant role in fighting nurses’ job stress.

Plain Language Summary

Job burnout is the result of long-term job stress that is not recognized and addressed until it gets out of control. It involves mental, emotional, or physical exhaustion, frequently accompanied by an overwhelming sense of hopelessness. Nursing burnout is a widespread phenomenon characterized by a reduction in nurses’ energy that manifests itself in emotional exhaustion, lack of motivation, and feelings of frustration and may lead to reductions in work efficiency. Psychological capital is one of the components of positive psychology, which includes characteristics such as a person’s belief in his ability to succeed, perseverance in pursuing goals, a positive view of themselves, and resilience in fighting problems. Psychological capital enables nurses to have more resistance against stressful events, to be less stressed, to be more able to cope with problems, to have a more realistic view of themselves, and to be less affected by daily events. As a result, we can see the role and importance of psychological capital and individual attitudes in the development and prevention of burnout. Because the main task of nursing is human care, if nurses have the physical health and psychological capital, they can better manage the stress associated with their job and cope with their heavy and important duties.
Psychological capital is considered an undeniable resource in coping with stress (Avey, Luthans, Smith, & Palmer, 2010; Bandura, 1997; Masten & Reed, 2002; Seligman 1998). Psychological capital is a cohesive structure that includes the four perceptual-cognitive components of hope, optimism, self-efficacy, and resilience (Story, Youssef, Luthans, Barbuto, & Bovaird 2013). These four independent dimensions by Luthans, Youssef, and Avolio (2007) are defined as follows: having the confidence to overcome challenges (self-efficacy), having a positive attitude and expectations for success now or in the future (optimism), having perseverance towards success and revision options (hope), being able to deal with problems, and keep moving forward (resilience).

One of the most important criteria for psychological capital is flexibility and the ability to change and increase it. Longitudinal studies have confirmed that psychological capital changes over time (Avey et al., 2010; Peterson & Zhang, 2011). Psychological studies also supported the view that psychological capital increases due to short-term interventions and exercises. As the impact of psychological capital on employee performance and corporate competitiveness has been identified, the number of studies on psychological capital increased (Çelik, 2018). Psychological capital, as a personal resource with positive dimensions within itself (self-efficacy, hope, resilience, and optimism) confronts negative consequences such as stress, burnout, work-family conflict in the workplace (Kan & Yu, 2016; Mattoo, 2017). Hope is one of the four main components of psychological capital that helps a person stay optimistic. And this optimism enables one to endure risk and succeed in an innovative process and tolerate negative external conditions (Tang, 2020).

Research has shown a negative relationship between psychological capital and job stress (Rabenu, Yaniv, & Elizur, 2017). People with higher psychological capital reported lower levels of job stress than those with lower psychological capital (Abbas & Raja, 2015). Psychological capital helps increase people’s resilience thresholds to bring their coping skills to stress and is seen as an important factor in this regard (Çelik, 2018). Research on the relationship between psychological capital and mental health has shown that the development of psychological capital components such as hope, resilience, self-efficacy, and optimism is significantly associated with increased mental health. Improving psychological capital can lead to psychological wellbeing (Avey et al., 2010; Culbertson, Fullagar, & Mills, 2010; Krasikova, Lester, & Harms, 2015; Selvaraj & Bhat, 2018) show that soldiers with high levels of psychological capital before deployment are less likely to be diagnosed with mental health problems and post-deployment drug use. Decreased levels of psychological capital are associated with increased mental health problems such as post-traumatic stress disorder, anxiety, depression, and drug use. On the other hand, evidence suggests a negative relationship between psychological capital and factors such as stress, anxiety, behavioral distress, and job burnout at work (Krasikova, Lester, & Harms, 2015).

![Figure 1. The hypothetical model of the mediation role of psychological capital](image-url)
For the research background on the relationship between job burnout and psychological capital, studies done by some researchers (Hansen, Buitendach, & Kanengoni, 2015; Leon-Perez, Antino, & Leon-Rubio, 2016; Li et al., 2015; Peng et al., 2013) can be noted. Nezami and Givarian (2016) also found that the components of psychological capital affect nurses’ burnout. Nurses with psychological capital, positive characteristics such as self-efficacy and self-confidence in performing their professional duties, high hopes and optimism for their future careers and resilience and flexibility in facing job problems are less likely to suffer from burnout compared to people who lack these features. Research has also been done on the mediating role of psychological capital between job stress and job satisfaction and burnout among Bank of China employees (Li et al., 2015) and the mediating role of psychological capital between job stress and job abandonment among nurses (Yim, Seo, Cho, & Kim, 2017; Wang, Liu, Yu, Wu, Chang, & Wang, 2017) Because of the relationship between psychological capital with variables such as burnout, job stress, and mental health, paying attention to it among nurses have an important and vital role in the health of nurses. Therefore, according to the research background mentioned, the present study examined the relationship between job stress with burnout and mental health with the mediation of psychological capital which was examined in the form of a model in Figure 1.

2. Methods

Study participants

The statistical population of the present study includes all nurses working in Bojnourd City in 2019. Of them, 250 were selected using the available sampling method to study the proposed model. The sample size of this study was determined based on the number of parameters. Considering Klein’s (1988) suggestion, at least 10 subjects are required for each calculated parameter to test the model (Hashemi, Savadkouhi, Naami, & Beshlideh, 2018). In this study, 31 parameters were calculated based on the number of direct routes (16 routes), the number of extrinsic variables (1 variable), and the number of error variance (14 errors). According to the sample size of the present study, about 8 subjects were considered for each parameter, which indicates the adequacy of the sample to test the model.

Study procedure

Given that the purpose of this study was to investigate the relationship between job stress with burnout and mental health with the mediation of psychological capital, the design of the research was cross-sectional (Gall, Borg, & Gall, 2006). Regarding the ethical consideration, the researcher provided the necessary explanations about the study objectives. Then, the researcher proposed the instructions for filling the questionnaires and finally, asked them to participate in the research. Data collection tools were psychological capital questionnaires (Luthans, Youssef & Avolio, 2007), job stress questionnaire (Jamal & Baba, 1992), Maslach job burnout questionnaire (1981), and psychological health questionnaire (Goldberg, 1979). A total of 275 questionnaires were distributed that after collecting the questionnaires by eliminating 15 incomplete or confused questionnaires, the number of samples was reduced to 250 participants (67 men and 183 women). The data were analyzed by Structural Equation Modeling (SEM) in SPSS version 23 and AMOS version 24.

Study questionnaires:

Job Stress Questionnaire

In this study, the 9-item job stress questionnaire of Jamal and Baba (1992) was used to measure job stress. The answers to this questionnaire are rated on a 5-point Likert-type scale from 1 (strongly opposed) to 5 (strongly agree). The authors report that the reliability coefficient of this questionnaire is 0.83 by calculating the Cronbach alpha (Jamal & Baba, 1992). In the present study, the Cronbach alpha method was used to calculate the reliability coefficient, which was 0.79, indicating the acceptable reliability of this questionnaire.

Job Burnout Questionnaire

Job burnout questionnaire (Maslach & Jackson, 1981), includes 22 items, nine of which are related to emotional exhaustion, eight to the lack of personal accomplishment, and five to depersonalization. These 22 items are rated from never (0) to very high (6). The minimum and maximum scores in this questionnaire are 0 and 132, respectively (Maslach & Jackson, 1981). Using the Cronbach alpha, the calculated internal consistency of this questionnaire was 0.83 (Maslach, Jackson, & Leiter, 1996). This questionnaire was validated and used by Filian (1992) in Iran and its reliability coefficient was found to be 0.78 using the test-retest method. In the present study, the Cronbach alpha method was used to calculate the reliability coefficient of the burnout questionnaire and its components. And the reliability coefficient of 0.84 was obtained for the whole questionnaire. The reliability coefficients were obtained as 0.78, 0.83, and 0.88 for emotional exhaustion, lack of personal accomplish-
The reliability of this questionnaire and its subscales were found 0.92, 0.71, 0.80, 0.93, and 0.87, respectively by calculating the Cronbach alpha (Mehrabizadeh Honarmand, Atashafrouz, Shehni Yiylagh, & Rezaie, 2014). In the present study, the Cronbach alpha method was used to calculate the reliability coefficient of the mental health questionnaire and its components. The reliability coefficient was 0.83 for the whole questionnaire and 0.73, 0.82, 0.84 and 0.88 were obtained for social symptoms, anxiety and insomnia, social dysfunction and depression, respectively, which indicate the acceptable reliability coefficient of this questionnaire.

**Psychological Capital Questionnaire**

The psychological capital questionnaire was designed by Luthans in 2007 and includes 24 items and 4 subscales of self-efficacy, hope, optimism, and resilience. Each subscale contains 6 items, and the respondent responds to each item on a 6-point scale. To acquire the psychological capital score, first, the score of each subscale is obtained separately, and then their sum is considered as the total score of the psychological capital (Luthans & Youssef, 2007). In the study, by analyzing the confirmatory factors, the proportional fitness index ($\chi^2/df=2.31$), the incremental fit index (IFI=0.90) and the Confirmatory Fit Index (CFI=0.90) and the Root Mean Of Approximation Error Averages (RMSEA=0.06) were obtained which indicate the suitability of the model and the validity of the questionnaire. Also, the Cronbach alpha coefficient for this questionnaire was 0.85, which shows the reliability of this tool (Hashemi, Savadkouhi, Naami, & Beshlideh, 2018). The reliability coefficient of this questionnaire in the present study was calculated as 0.76 using the Cronbach alpha method. Also, the Cronbach alpha coefficients for self-efficacy, hope, resilience, and optimism were obtained as 0.72, 0.81, 0.68, and 0.76 respectively. This, of course, shows the reliability of this questionnaire.

### 3. Results

The conceptual model of Figure 1 was analyzed using the structural equation modeling in AMOS-24 statistical software. To measure fitness, the proposed model of a combination of fitness measures such as relative Chi-square ($\chi^2/df$), Incremental Fitness Index (IFI), Confirmatory Fit Index (CFI), Good Fitness Index (GFI), Adjusted Good Fitness Index (AGFI), Toker-Lewis Index (TLI), and Bentler-Bont index or Normed Fit Index (NFI) were used.

The Mean±SD age of the participants was 34.52±8.36 years, also 73.28% of the subjects were female and 72.52% were married.

Means, standard deviations, and bivariate correlations among the variables are presented in Table 1. As can be noted, all the correlations between variables were significant at P<0.01. Fit indices for the proposed and final models are presented in Table 2. The proposed model was tested through structural equation modeling. Fit indices show that the proposed model is fitted with data.

Bootstrapping procedures were used to test the mediation paths. We generated 5000 bootstrapping samples from the original dataset (N=250). Table 3 presents the direct effects and Table 4 the indirect effects and their associated 95% confidence intervals. As presented in Table 4, job stress has a significant indirect effect on burnout and mental health through psychological capital. Figure 2 presents the final model and standardized regression weights.

### 4. Discussion

This study aimed to investigate the mediating role of psychological capital in the relationship between job stress and burnout and mental health. The results generally showed a negative relationship between job stress with psychological capital ($P=0.001$, $\beta=-0.39$) and mental health ($P=0.002$, $\beta=-0.28$) and a positive relationship with burnout ($P=0.01$, $\beta=0.25$). Also, there is a negative relationship between psychological capital with burnout ($\beta=-0.33$, $P=0.001$) and a positive relationship with mental health ($\beta=0.22$, $P=0.002$). The results also showed the mediating role of psychological capital in the relationship between job stress and burnout and the mental health of nurses.

In the present study, the results showed a positive and significant relationship between job stress and burnout. Nurses with a high level of workload and high energy expenditure to perform their tasks have less time for...
competitive pressures and changing hospital procedures and policies. This condition increases the stress and psychological stress on nurses, which leads to a decrease in mental health (Sugawara et al., 2017) and an increase in burnout (Khamisa et al., 2017). Therefore, measures should be taken to control this stress in the workplace.

One of the factors that can be helpful in this regard is the promotion of psychological capital among individuals. Psychological capital as a positive personal resource can play a significant role in fighting nurses’ job stress (Abbas & Raja, 2015; Kan & Yu, 2016; Matoori, 2017; Rabenu, Yaniv, & Elizur, 2017). Psychological capital is also an effective source for reducing stress, burnout, and depression (Peng et al., 2013; Hansen, Buitendach, & Kanengoni, 2015; Krasikova, Lester, & Harms, 2015; Leon-Perez, Antino, & Leon-Rubio, 2016; Li et al.,

Table 1. Descriptive statistics and bivariate correlations among the variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Job Stress</td>
<td>28.42±6.78</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Psychological Capital</td>
<td>79.18±11.17</td>
<td>-0.48*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Burnout</td>
<td>65.24±9.11</td>
<td>0.079*</td>
<td>-0.41*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Mental Health</td>
<td>66.46±10.67</td>
<td>-0.69*</td>
<td>0.57*</td>
<td>-0.66*</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Correlation is significant at 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Table 2. Fit indices among competing models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Fit Indices</th>
<th>$x^2$</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>$x^2$/df</th>
<th>GFI</th>
<th>AGFI</th>
<th>NFI</th>
<th>CFL</th>
<th>IFI</th>
<th>TLI</th>
<th>RMSEA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acceptable values</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>≥0.90</td>
<td>≥0.90</td>
<td>≥0.90</td>
<td>≥0.90</td>
<td>≥0.90</td>
<td>≥0.90</td>
<td>≤ 0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed model</td>
<td>108.434</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N: 250; RMSEA: Root Mean Square Error of Approximation; CFI: Comparative Fit Index; IFI: Incremental Fit Index; NFI: Normed Fit Index


Figure 2. Standardized regression weights for the final model paths

** $P<0.001$
and increase in mental health (Wang, Liu et al., 2017). Therefore, nurses with sufficient psychological capital, with self-efficacy in performing tasks, as well as more optimism and hope for success in their profession, show high resilience and flexibility in the face of stressful issues and problems in the workplace. And it makes them feel less tired and makes their job burnout relieved and their mental health increased. Therefore, psychological capital is an important source of fighting job burnout and increasing mental health.

5. Conclusion

Hospital and medical center management can build trust with nurses by increasing effective communication and establishing fair and impartial systems in the workplace that result in the reduction of job stress in the workplace. Also, paying attention to psychological capital can significantly overcome job stress and burnout and increase the mental health of nurses.

Study limitations

First, this study was conducted in a cross-sectional way, and we know that longitudinal studies provide a better understanding of the matter at hand. Second, future researchers at other health centers are also advised to repeat this study. Comparing the findings of this study with other findings in other health centers can lead to a better understanding of psychological capital in nursing workplaces. It is recommended that future research consider other individual, professional, and organizational outcomes such as job satisfaction, organizational commitment, work-family conflict, and so on. In addition to these self-report questionnaires, it is also suggested that other methods such as field observations and studies be used to measure the variables of this research.
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Table 3. Direct effects for the final mediational model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paths</th>
<th>Standard Estimates</th>
<th>Non-standard Estimation</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>CR</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Job Stress → Burnout</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job Stress → Mental health</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>-3.48</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job Stress → Psychological capital</td>
<td>-0.39</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-5.63</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological capital → Burnout</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-4.14</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological capital → Mental Health</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Indirect effects for the final mediational model using Bootstrapping

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indirect Paths</th>
<th>Indirect Effect</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Job stress → Psychological capital → Burnout</td>
<td>0.1521</td>
<td>0.0672</td>
<td>0.2202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job stress → Psychological capital → Mental health</td>
<td>0.2232</td>
<td>0.1009</td>
<td>0.3217</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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