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Objective: The present study was done to determine the effect of self-differentiation training on attachment styles and self-esteem among married women in our center.

Methods: This semi-empirical with pre-test and post-test was done on 30 subjects selected from married women in a training course during the spring 2017 using available sampling method who were divided into two experimental and control groups randomly. After training, the research questionnaires, including the Adult Attachment Scale by Hazan and Shaver and Rosenberg’s Self-esteem Scale were completed by the examinees. The members of the experimental group participated in a training course for 8 sessions (100 min) but no training was done for the control group. The research data were analyzed using Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA) via SPSS v. 22.

Results: The results of ANCOVA indicated that self-differentiation training had a significant effect on secure attachment style, anxious-ambivalent attachment style, and self-esteem (P<0.05), whereas it had no significant impact on avoidance attachment style (P>0.05).

Conclusion: The self-differentiation training intervention may lead to a rise in the secure attachment style and self-esteem and a reduction in anxious-ambivalent attachment style in married women in our center.
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1. Introduction

Family, as the most important core of the community, plays an important role in shaping many social relationships, and the community also has some effects on family behaviors (Jafari Nadoushan & Rayati Shavazi, 2014). Mothers are one of the fundamental pillars of the family system, and as the main caregivers of children, they tend to experience much psychological stress that causes damage to their mental health. Also, to maintain the health and safety of the family system, they must identify, control, and optimize various functions of the family members to ensure the survival and safety of the family in the community (Imani & Moradi, 2012). Individuals are linked to each other by strong interests and attachments (Lee & Hankin, 2010). One of the factors that contribute to the formation and sustainability of these relationships is the attachment style of individuals. Attachment styles are one of the concepts that were first presented by John Bowlby (1969) (Naebi Nyia, Salari & Modares Gharvi, 2011).

Hazan and Shaver (1987) identified three adult attachment styles based on the Ainsworth classification: secure attachment style, avoidance attachment style, and anxious-ambivalent attachment style. Individuals with a secure attachment are known with a positive attitude to themselves and others (Karamiboldaji, Sabetzadeh, Fallahchaj & Zarei, 2014). In contrast to the secure style, there are two avoidance and anxious-ambivalent styles. The main feature of avoidance attachment style is the negative attitude to yourself and others. Finally, people with anxious-ambivalent attachment style are also known to have negative attitudes toward themselves and a positive attitude to others, and also they need attention (Millikin, 2000).

There is a relationship between self-esteem, sentiment, harmony, and health. People with low self-esteem will experience more negative emotions than people with high self-esteem (Du, King & Chi, 2017). Self-esteem is one of the most important factors in the growth and flourishing of humans that family plays a fundamental role in its strengthening or weakening (Naimavi, Maktabi, Tofanizadeh & Rahimi, 2015).

Self-esteem is an attitude about skills, abilities, social relationships, and future events (Snyder & Lopez, 2002). It is caused by a positive attitude to yourself through receiving feedbacks, warm and kindly relations, respect, intimacy, acceptance, and kindness from the environment, and especially the family (Hassanzadeh & Imanifar, 2010).
Many theorists have attempted to explain family performance. Bowen (1978) was the most famous theorist who introduced the theory of family systems. In this view, the “self-differentiation” is the fundamental concept that refers to the ability of an individual to avoid the automatic obedience of emotion (Nikhah, Younesi & Borjali, 2013). The degree of self-differentiation in each individual reflects his ability to differentiate the experienced rational from the emotional process. Self-differentiation components are as follows: 1. Emotional reactivity: A situation, in which ones’ emotions overcome his wisdom and logic and his decisions are based on emotional reactions; 2. “I” position: having certain beliefs in life; 3. Emotional cut-off: using different strategies to escape unsolved emotional links with others; 4. Fusion with others: have an intense mixing with others (Ghenaat Bajgiran, Ghanbari Hashem Abadi & Zarif, 2013). According to Bowen (1978) theory, the mental health of a person depends on the level of his self-differentiation; for example, people with a high degree of self-differentiation are not emotionally dependent on others and they do not need to be confirmed or rejected by others (Skowron & Friedlander, 1998).

Therefore, family therapy interventions based on the self-differentiation approach can affect marital adjustment, because according to Bowen’s model, one who has reached a balanced differentiation of the family has the lowest level of anxiety and psychological syndrome (Yousefi, 2012). Other aspects of Bowen’s theory include social repression, tri-angulation (a third person involved for maintenance of marital relationship), birth order, family projection system (transmission of the lowest level of differentiation by parents to the most talented child), and multigenerational and emotional system of the family (people choose couples with the same level of self-differentiation (Latifian & Fakhari, 2014).

The structure of a well-balanced family is based on true marital relationships. Couples’ attachment style and their self-esteem are important factors in strengthening this relationship. According to Bowen’s model, a person in a differentiated family has the lowest levels of anxiety and psychological syndrome. Some researchers have shown that self-differentiation has affected couples’ life satisfaction and marital adjustment, but no similar research has been found to explore the effect of self-differentiation on self-esteem and attachment styles. For example, Rodrigues (2016) in a study showed that poor self-differentiation has effects on worry and insecure adult attachment style and their relationship.

Burri, Schweitzer and O’Brien (2014) showed that relational variables of avoidance attachment and to a lesser degree, anxiety attachment were associated with fertility-specific distress. Participants with lower levels of self-differentiation were more likely to report sexual difficulties.

Beirami (2012) in a study entitled “Predicting marital satisfaction on the basis of attachment styles and differentiation components” showed that among the components of self-differentiation, I- position, and among the types of attachment styles, anxious-ambivalent attachment style (P<0.001) were the best predictors of marital satisfaction. Skowron and Dendy (2004) showed significant relationships between the dimensions of attachment and self-differentiation, and a great relationship was observed between avoidant attachment and emotional cut-off (r=-0.75), and between anxiety attachment and ER (r=-0.60).

According to the role of the family in attachment styles and self-esteem, Bowen’s theory seems to be one of the strongest theories of family therapy. So far, no study has been done to investigate the effect of self-differentiation training on attachment styles and self-esteem; thus, the present study was done to investigate whether self-differentiation training affects attachment styles and self-esteem of married women.

2. Methods

The current research was a semi-empirical study with pre-test and post-test. The statistical population was married women in Najaf Abad, Isfahan in spring 2017. Cole’s (1983) formula was used to estimate sample size (Cole & Black, 1983). Cole recommends using up to 30-35 subjects in most basic semi-experimental studies. Also, when the researcher intends to perform an analysis of variance with several levels of independent variables, Cole proposes 15 participants for each level. The samples were selected from married women who wanted to attend the training course.

The inclusion criteria were as follows: 1. Having a Diploma education and higher; 2. The age range of 20 to 45 years; 3. Passing at least one year from the marriage; and 4. No drug addiction and using the psychoactive substance. Exclusion criteria in this research were 1. Unwillingness to participate in the study; 2. the presence of physical, psychological, and family problems to make the women unable to continue to cooperate; and 3. Being absent for more than two sessions of the self-differentiation training.

Accordingly, 30 women who met the inclusion criteria were selected by available sampling and were randomly assigned to two groups of 15 participants: the experimental and control groups. Then, both groups were asked to complete the research questionnaires carefully. After the pre-test, the experimental group received an educational
intervention consisting of eight 100-minute sessions (weekly one session), but no intervention was received by the control group. Finally, both groups were asked to respond to research questionnaires again in the post-test.

Table 1 presents the framework of self-differentiation sessions based on the educational-therapeutic package for self-differentiation developed by Yousefi (2010) (2). The following ethical standards were observed in this study:

- All sessions were free of charge;
- There was no compulsion to participate in the research, and the consent of the members to participate in the course was considered;
- The confidentiality of the results of the questionnaires and participants’ personal information was fully respected;
- The collected information was used only for the purposes and hypotheses of the study.

To collect data, the Adult Attachment Styles Questionnaire (AAQ) developed by Hazan and Shaver and Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale (RSES) were used. AAQ was used to measure the attachment styles of married women. This questionnaire has 15 questions and is scored on a five-point Likert scale. Five items are related to secure attachment style, five items to avoidance attachment style, and five ones to anxious-ambivalent attachment style. Hazen and Shaver (1987) obtained the total test-retest reliability of 0.81 and Cronbach’s alpha of 0.78 (Ahadi, 2009) for this scale. Rahimian Bougar, Asgharnejad Farid & Rahiminejad (2008) reported the reliability of 0.75, 0.81, and 0.83 for secure, avoidance, and anxious-ambivalent attachment styles, respectively, for AAQ using Cronbach’s alpha equals to.

RSES was used to measure the self-esteem of married women. This scale is used more than other self-esteem scales and has ten items that are scored based on a four-point Likert scale, from completely agree to completely disagree. Zimprich, Perren and Hornung (2005) examined the RSES using confirmatory factor analysis and found that the one-factor model of self-esteem was more fitted to the data with orthogonal rotation with negative items. Mäkikangas, Kinnunen and Feldt (2004) obtained Cronbach’s alpha coefficients of 0.87 for men and 0.86 for women. Alizadeh, Farhani, Shahraray & Alizadegan (2005) reported the reliability of 0.74 for this scale using the Cronbach’s alpha coefficient and 0.82-0.88 by the test-retest method. The collected data were analyzed at descriptive (Mean±SD) and inferential (ANCOVA) levels using SPSS V. 22.

3. Results

The mean age of women participating in the study was 32.26. Also, 23.3% had no children, 30% had one child and 46.7% had two children or more. In terms of educational level, women with a bachelor’s degree had the highest frequency (50%) and women with postgraduate education were the least frequent (10%). Table 2 pres-
ents the descriptive statistic of the research variables in both groups in the pre-test and post-test phases.

The results showed that the mean scores of women participating in the experimental group in the post-test in both the avoidance attachment style (13.06) and the anxious-ambivalent style (11.53) were lower than those of the pre-test. The mean scores of women in the experimental group in the post-test in the secure attachment style (18.00) and self-esteem (29.60) increased compared with the pre-test.

Before data analysis (ANCOVA), homogeneity of variances was investigated using Levene’s test. The results showed that Levene’s statistic was greater than 0.05 for the avoidance attachment style (F=1.25, P=0.27), secure attachment style (F=3.98, P=0.056), anxious-ambivalent attachment style (F=0.12, P=0.73), and self-esteem (F=2.74, P=0.10), which indicates the homogeneity of variances.

The results of ANCOVA showed that among the three attachment styles, only there was a significant difference between the mean score of the experimental group in secure attachment style (F=8.56, P=0.007) and anxious-ambivalent attachment style (F=7.43, P=0.012) compared with the control group (Table 3), whereas there was no significant difference between both groups in avoidance attachment style (P>0.05). Also, the experimental group showed a significant difference in the self-esteem score (F=4.60, P=0.041) compared with the control group.

Table 2. Descriptive statistics for attachment styles and self-esteem

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Situation</th>
<th>Mean±SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Experiment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avoidance attachment style</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>13.26±3.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>13.06±4.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secure attachment style</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>16.80±3.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>18.00±3.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxious-ambivalent attachment style</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>14.93±5.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>11.53±3.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-esteem</td>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>25.40±6.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-test</td>
<td>29.60±3.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. The summary of the results of the Analysis of Covariance (ANCOVA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>SS</th>
<th>Df</th>
<th>MS</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>Sig</th>
<th>η²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-test</td>
<td>Avoidance attachment style</td>
<td>89.07</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>89.07</td>
<td>7.57</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Secure attachment style</td>
<td>11.18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11.18</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>0.207</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anxious-ambivalent attachment style</td>
<td>153.04</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>153.04</td>
<td>15.91</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Self-esteem</td>
<td>81.20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>81.20</td>
<td>8.36</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group</td>
<td>Avoidance attachment style</td>
<td>20.80</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20.80</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.196</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Secure attachment style</td>
<td>56.98</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>56.98</td>
<td>8.56</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anxious-ambivalent attachment style</td>
<td>71.48</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>71.48</td>
<td>7.43</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Self-esteem</td>
<td>44.66</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>44.66</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

According to the obtained effect size, 25% of the differences between the secure attachment style, 23% of the differences between the anxious-ambivalent attachment style, and 15% of the self-esteem differences in the post-test were related to the differences between the two groups. According to the results, self-differentiation training can increase the level of self-esteem and secure attachment and decrease the anxious-ambivalent attachment in married women referred to our center.

4. Discussion

The present study was done to investigate the effectiveness of self-differentiation training on attachment styles and the self-esteem of married women referring to our center. The result of ANCOVA for the first hypothesis showed that self-differentiation training had a significant effect on both secure attachment and anxious-ambivalent attachment styles among married women. It increased the secure attachment style and decreased the anxious-ambivalent attachment style, whereas no significant change was observed in the avoidance attachment style. These results are consistent with those reported by Rodrigues (2016), Burri et al. (2014), Beirami (2012), and Skowron and Dendy (2004). To explain these results, it can be said that like other trans-generational perspectives, self-differentiation focuses on explaining the nature of interpersonal behaviors and intra-psychic structure through the past relationships within the extended family, which are transmitted from generation to generation, thus influencing the present relationships (Vancea, 2013).

Self-differentiation training makes married women informed about the harms of triangulations that they frequently create in their relationships with an emphasis on the growth of rationality. It helps them reduce their anxiety and establish deep-seated emotional relationships, be able to balance between emotional functions, and be independent in decision-making and expressing their ideas. According to Skowron and Dendy (2004), there is a high degree of convergence between the concepts of Bowen’s self-differentiation and Bowlby’s secure attachment; therefore, they can be used instead. People with secure attachment have positive feelings about themselves and have a positive perception of others, and are socially more confident and successful (Mozzeni, Aghaei & Golparvar, 2014). They also experience less anxiety by balancing their relationships similar to self-differentiated individuals. In contrast to the secure style, anxious-ambivalent people tend to have a less positive view of themselves and show poor relationship patterns. They are scared of being rejected by their friends or spouses (Robyn & Evelyn, 1998).

It seems that the concept of fusion in self-differentiation is close to the theoretical conception of the anxious-ambivalent attachment style. Non-differentiated people are always concerned about their feelings and others’ opinions. They have the fear of losing their relatives; thus, they suffer from high psychological stress. Therefore, it can be said that educational interventions cause an increase in people’s adaptability to cope with stress made by close relationships by informing non-differentiated individuals about the negative aspects of fusion, and also by trying to create greater autonomy while recognizing and keeping the boundaries of dependency. Also, the insignificance of therapeutic intervention in avoidance attachment style can be related to the presence of some features that interfere with the aspects of a high degree of self-differentiation, such as high I-position. Avoiding people by staying away from others will avoid possible conflicts, rejection, or disappointment as a result of getting too close to others. This strategy can be a mechanism to deal with the unavailability and non-responsiveness of others and reducing the anxiety of the individual, which is consistent with the outcome of the self-differentiation training.

Therefore, considering the features of individuals with avoidance styles, the goals of self-differentiation, changes in traditional values of the family and a new definition of women’s role in modern society nowadays, self-differentiation training with an emphasis on autonomy and keeping balance in intimacy and feelings in relationships has no significant impact on avoidance attachment style, which inherently follows independence. Thus, according to this contention, the ineffectiveness of the intervention on this style seems to be logical. Also, the results of ANCOVA in investigating the second hypothesis of research showed that self-differentiation training had a significant effect on self-esteem among married women. This result is consistent with the results of other studies (Nodee, Esmaeili & Farahbakhsh, 2015; Kazemian & Kalantar Hormozi, 2013; Hea Shoon Lee, 2011; Levinger & Ronen, 2010).

To explain these results, it can be said that self-esteem is one of the most important psychosocial factors to reduce stress, which is based on the family relation fields, social interactions, and achievements (Movahed & Dastranj, 2010). According to Mogonea and Mogonea (2014), self-esteem is a method by which individuals evaluate their values negatively or positively interrelated to the groups they belong to. It seems that the self-differentiation intervention gives married women the opportunity to create strong self-esteem by emphasizing I-position and strengthening it. Also, the women who participated in the intervention learned how to focus on their features and values in
their social interactions and to apply rationality in relationships during the process of meetings (for example avoiding irrelevant and irrational adherence to relatives).

Moreover, they were allowed to discontinue participation in the study whenever they wish. Eventually, if desired, the results of the research would be available to them.
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