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Objective: The present study examined the psychometric properties of the Young Mania Rating Scale.

Methods: This cross-sectional study included 65 patients with bipolar I disorder in the manic phase and 145 healthy individuals. The patients were selected from the Razi Psychiatric Hospital and Taleghani Hospital, while the controls were selected from the general population of Tehran, using a purposive sampling method. Data were collected using the structured clinical interview for DSM-IV axis I disorders and the Young Mania Rating Scale (YMRS) and analyzed using SPSS-23 and AMOS-24.

Results: Confirmatory and exploratory factor analysis revealed a three-factor structure for the YMRS. Cronbach’s alpha coefficient of 0.72 indicated the acceptable reliability of YMRS. The cut-off point, sensitivity, and specificity of the YMRS were found to be 12.5, 0.93, and 0.96, respectively.

Conclusion: According to the study results, YMRS showed adequate psychometric properties in the Iranian population. Therefore, it can be considered as a valuable instrument in screening patients with bipolar disorder in the manic phase.

1. Introduction

Bipolar I disorder is a condition, in which the patient experiences one or several manic episodes and may (but not necessarily) experience one or several major depressive episodes. Therefore, the mere presence of mania symptoms is sufficient for the diagnosis of bipolar I disorder (Sadock & Sadock, 2011).

The 12-month prevalence of bipolar I disorder in the USA is estimated to be 0.6% while that in 11 countries has been found to be 0–0.6% (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Despite lower prevalence rates in comparison with the other mental disorders, such as major depressive disorder and anxiety disorders, bipolar I disorder may lead to functional degradation (Sadock & Sadock, 2011; Torres et al., 2018). Bipolar I disorder reduces the quality of life of patients (Voort et al., 2015), and simultaneously imposes a financial burden on patients, families,
and the society (Sammarco, 2016). The direct costs for the treatment of bipolar disorder include the costs of professional services, hospitalization, and medication, and the indirect costs are related to providing care for patients and loss of productivity. 33.5%–65.2% of the overall costs for the treatment of patients with bipolar disorder are associated with hospitalization, which is one of the costliest aspects of bipolar disorder constituting about 50% of these medical costs.

Several studies have shown that the annual cost for the treatment of a patient with bipolar disorder is about $12764 and that for an ordinary patient is about $1340. Overall, patients with bipolar disorder utilize about 3–4-fold more healthcare resources than the patients with other disorders (Bergeson et al., 2012). As a result, despite similar education as their peers in the general population, patients with bipolar I disorder experience a considerable decline in the career position, which reduces their socioeconomic status (Sadock & Sadock, 2011). Therefore, correct diagnosis of the disorder, accurate estimation of its severity, and providing timely treatment are crucial for the treatment of bipolar I disorder. On the other hand, lack of appropriate and prompt treatment can lead to a high frequency of episodes, prolonged duration of each episode, and lack of appropriate response to treatment (Birmaher, 2013; Enderami, Monesi, & Zarghami, 2017). Therefore, using instruments that assess the symptoms continually from normal to severe provides an opportunity to identify the patients at the risk of developing the disorder and administer early interventions. One of the most common scales designed to assess the severity of mania symptoms is the Young Mania Rating Scale (YMRS) is developed based on the descriptions provided for the primary symptoms of mania. The YMRS assessed the severity of the mania symptoms according to the patient’s subjective report of their clinical state and also the clinician’s observations during the interview. In an initial study, Young found reliability coefficients ranging from 0.41 (appearance) to 0.85 (thought-language disorders), a total inter-rater reliability coefficient of 0.93, and inter-rater reliabilities ranging from 0.66 (aggressive-destructive behavior) to 0.95 (sleep). In order to assess the validity, the YMRS scores were compared to those on the Petterson and Beigel Scales; a correlation coefficient of 0.71 was observed between YMRS and Beigel Scale and 0.89 between YMRS and Petterson Scale (Miller, Johnson, & Eisner, 2009).

This questionnaire has been translated into several languages. Studies on psychometric properties of the Thai, French, Turkish, and Spanish versions of the YMRS indicated acceptable validity and reliability of the scale and its applicability for assessing the severity of the mania symptoms (Kongsakon & Bhatanaprabhan, 2005; Favre et al., 2003; Karadağ, Oral, Yalcın, & Erten, 2002; Colom et al., 2002). In Iran, the standardization of this scale on a sample of 120 manic patients indicated Cronbach’s alpha coefficient of 0.75, diagnostic validity of total scores and group membership of 0.31, and concurrent validity of YMRS with bipolar depression rating scale of 0.74 (Ebrahimi, Khay, Nasiri, & Barmamanesh, 2017). In the present study, none of the patients were reported in the manic or depressive phases of bipolar disorder during interviews. Given that the main objective of the present study was to determine the number of mania factors according to the dimensional model of DSM-5, the necessity of examining the psychometric characteristics of the YMRS in patients with bipolar I disorder in the manic phase becomes clear.

2. Methods

This cross-sectional study included all patients in the manic phase of bipolar I disorder from the Razi Psychiatric Hospital and Taleghani Hospital, and the general population of Tehran in 2016-2017 served as the control. This population consisted of a total of 97 patients in the manic phase of bipolar I disorder, and 160 healthy individuals were selected using a purposeful sampling method and examined according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Due to attrition, 65 patients and 145 healthy individuals were included in the statistical analysis (Cronbach’s alpha coefficient, ROC curve, Pearson’s correlation coefficient, and confirmatory and exploratory factor analysis).

The inclusion criteria were as follows: diagnosis of bipolar I disorder based on clinical interviews for the patient group, absence of mental disorders for the controls, age 18–65 years, and ability to understand the questions. The exclusion criteria were as follows: drug abuse or addiction, mental retardation, severe physical disorders, and lack of willingness to participate in the study at any time. First, the medical records of the patients who were diagnosed with bipolar I disorder by a psychiatrist were examined with respect to the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Subsequently, the patients were examined using the SCID-I to verify the diagnosis of bipolar I disorder. If the diagnosis was confirmed based on SCID-I, the patient was included in the statistical analysis, or else excluded from the study. For the control group, healthy individuals, who had no history of severe mental or physical illness requiring pharmacological or psychological treatment, were selected from the general population. Then, the demographic information of the
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participants was collected, followed by YMRS. Before
collecting the data, the study objectives were explained
to the patients and healthy participants. All participants
were reassured about confidential of their personal
information. In addition, the participants were allowed to
quit the study at any time. Data collection instruments
are as following:
Structured clinical interview for DSM-IV axis I dis
orders (SCID-I) is a semi-structural clinical interview
for axis 1 disorders, developed by Spitzer et al., (1988)
(Segal, Kabacoff, Hersen, Van Hasselt, & Ryan, 1995).
The clinical version of SCID-I only includes disorders
that are commonly encountered in clinics. The research
version of the SCID-I is comprised of axis 1 disorder
with complete details and is useful in studies that require
highly accurate details. The research version is consider-
ably longer than the clinical version as it includes the
coding of several diagnostic subgroups, severity ratings,
course specifiers for many disorders, and features that
are useful for diagnosis in research studies (Mohammad-
Khani, Jahani Tabesh, & Tamanaie-far, 2013). The kapp
coefficient for this interview was reported in the range
of 0.53 (dysthymia) to 0.90 (major depressive disorder)
(Segal et al., 1995). In Iran, the kappa coefficients >0.4
have been reported for all diagnoses except for anxiety
disorders, and specificity has been found to be >0.85 for
a majority of the diagnoses and >0.90 for half of this
number, indicating that specificity is acceptable, but sen-
sitivity is slightly low (Amini et al., 2008). The SCID-I-
CV was used in the present study.
Young Mania Rating Scale (YMRS) comprised of
eleven items that encompassed the main symptoms of
the manic episode in terms of mood, mobility, sexual de-
sire, sleep, irritability, speech, flight of ideas, grandiosity,
aggressive behaviors, appearance, and one item that as-
sesses the patient’s insight. Seven items were rated on a
severity scale ranging from 0–4, and the four other items
(irritability, speech, thought content, and aggressive-de-
structive behaviors) weighing twice the other items were
rated on a scale ranging from 0–8. The administration
time for the YMRS was 15–30 min (Bull, 2017).
The study data were analyzed using Cronbach’s alpha
coefficient, ROC curve, Pearson’s correlation coefficient,
exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis. All analy-
ses were performed using SPSS version 23 and AMOS.
3. Results
A total of 144 women with an average age of 32.25
(SD=9.57) years and 66 men with an average age of
31.16 (SD=9.92) years participated in the present study.
63.8% of the patients were single, 28.1% were mar-
ed, and 8.1% were divorced or widowed. In addition,
12.4% were illiterate or had elementary school educa-
tion, 12.9% had middle school or high school educa-
tion, 17.6% had a high school diploma, and 57.1% had
a college education.
The Cronbach’s alpha coefficient was used to examine
the internal consistency of YMRS. A Cronbach’s alpha
of 0.72 was detected for the total scale. Among the scale
items, thought content, insight, and thought-language
disorder showed high reliability, and their exclusion re-
duced the Cronbach’s alpha level; however, irritability,
agression, and mobility have low reliabilities, and re-
moving them did not reduce the Cronbach’s alpha level.
The ROC curve was used to examine the cut-off point,
sensitivity, and specificity of YMRS (Figure 1). Accord-
ning to the ROC curve, the appropriate cut-off point for
YMRS is 12.5, at which, the sensitivity and specificity
coefficients were 0.93 and 0.96, respectively. Therefore,
at this point, the YMRS correctly identifies 93% and
incorrectly identifies 4% of the respondents as having
mania. Nevertheless, YMRS detected 56 bipolar patients
with mania (true positive), 6 healthy individuals with
mania (false positive), 139 healthy individuals as healthy
(true negative), and 9 bipolar patients as healthy (false
negative) (Table 1).
In the process of Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA),
first, the KMO coefficient was used to assess the ad-
quaey of the sample size. A KMO of 0.73 (>0.7) in-
dicated the adequacy of sample size for factor analysis.
According to the EFA results, the first factor included
five items: insight, thought content, thought-language
disorder, sleep, and speech; the second factor included
three items: aggressive-destructive behavior, irritability, and increased mobility-energy; the third factor included three items: sexual desire, appearance, and elevated mood. The EFA results are presented in Table 2. According to CFA, the goodness-of-fit indices, including chi-Square, Root-Mean-Square Error (RMSE), Comparative Fit Index (CFI), and Normed Fit Index (NFI) indicated a good fit of the model with the data (Table 3, Figure 2).

4. Discussion

The present study was aimed at examining the psychometric properties of the YMRS. The study findings indicated that the YMRS had good internal consistency as that of an index of reliability. This finding was consistent with those of Karadağ et al. (2002). According to the analysis of item reliability, if the items related to irritability and aggressive-destructive behavior are removed and the energy level increased, the internal consistency of the scale improves. Given the nature of the study sample consisting of hospitalized patients in psychiatric wards, the participants would not answer these items, as manic patients with high irritability, aggression, and energy are usually admitted to the emergency department.

Regarding distinguishing the patients with bipolar disorder from controls, the ROC curve showed that the best cut-off point for the YMRS was 12.5 with 93% and 96% sensitivity and specificity, respectively. This finding was in line with those of Turkoz et al. (2013) (Turkoz, Fu, Bossie, Sheehan, & Alphs, 2013), thereby indicating that YMRS is useful in screening bipolar patients in the manic phase. The present results also indicated a high agreement between psychiatric interview and YMRS in diagnosing mental disorders, which might be attributed to the psychiatric interview and YMRS based on the diagnostic criteria of DSM, indicating an empirical approach (Trull & Prinstein, 2012).

Table 2. Exploratory factor analysis of YMRS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>First Factor</th>
<th>Second Factor</th>
<th>Third Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insight</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thought content</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thought-language disorder</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speech</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aggressive-destructive behavior</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irritability</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased mobility-energy</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual desire</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appearance</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevated mood</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The CFA revealed a three-factor structure for the YMRS which is consistent with the multidimensional structure of mania reported previously (Hanwell & Silva, 2011). The first factor consists of insight, thought content, thought-language disorder, sleep, and speech. This factor can be labeled as “psychotic mania” because, in YMRS, most psychotic features are categorized under “thought content,” assessing grandiosity, paranoia, attributional beliefs, hallucinations, and delusions. Therefore, the first factor can be regarded as representing a severe form of mania.

The second factor consists of aggressive-destructive behavior, irritability, and increased mobility-energy. One of the most important findings of this study was identifying a factor, known as “irritable mania” that is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Goodness-of-fit indices of exploratory factor analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indices</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X^2/df$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSEA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

neither included as a distinct category in DSM-5 nor ICD-10. However, there is lack of evidence to support this finding. The third factor includes sexual desire, appearance, and elevated mood. Most previous studies identified an elevated mood, hypersexuality, and grandiosity as core features of mania (Gupta, Sinha, Praharaj, & Gandotra, 2009). The scale used in the present study (YMRS) did not have a distinct item, such as grandiosity, and grandiosity along with delusions and hallucinations were was categorized as “thought content” that was not loaded on this factor.

Overall, the study results showed that the YMRS exhibited appropriate psychometric properties in assessing the severity of mania symptoms in patients with bipolar disorder, and its use is recommended for the following reasons: 1. Good validity and reliability; 2. Short, easy-to-use; and 3. Cost-effective instrument. The items have been selected such that they reflect the main symptoms of the manic phase of bipolar disorder based on the DSM criteria.

Despite the advantages mentioned above, the present study had some limitations. The YMRS is a self-report scale, and therefore, the scores on the scale may alter according to the severity of symptoms and the level of psychological disturbance. In addition, the patient group only included 65 hospitalized patients. Thus, future studies are also suggested to examine outpatients and use larger samples. Finally, owing to small sample size, caution should be exercised in interpreting the study results. In summary, mania has three dimensions: psychotic mania, irritable mania, and a third factor that is the core of mania included elevated mood, sexual desire, and appearance.
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